MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CIRO FABIO TOVAR, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

THE EMPIRE SHOE REPAIRING CORP. (D/B/A EMPIRE SHOE REPAIR) and GEORGE DZHURAYEV,

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

ECF Case

Defendants.
 X

Plaintiff Ciro Fabio Tovar ("Plaintiff Tovar" or "Mr. Tovar"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C., upon his knowledge and belief, and as against The Empire Shoe Repairing Corp. (d/b/a Empire Shoe Repair), ("Defendant Corporation") and George Dzhurayev, ("Individual Defendant"), (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- Plaintiff Tovar is a former employee of Defendants The Empire Shoe Repairing Corp.
 (d/b/a Empire Shoe Repair) and George Dzhurayev.
- 2. Defendants own, operate, or control a shoe repair shop, located at 991 Lexington Ave, New York, NY 10021 under the name "Empire Shoe Repair".

- 3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendant George Dzhurayev, serves or served as owner, manager, principal, or agent of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operates or operated the shoe repair shop as a joint or unified enterprise.
- 4. Plaintiff Tovar was employed as a shoe and bag repairer at the shoe repair shop located at 991 Lexington Ave, New York, NY 10021.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Tovar worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate minimum wage and overtime compensation for the hours that he worked.
- 6. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked and failed to pay Plaintiff Tovar appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.
- 7. Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Tovar to all other similarly situated employees.
- 8. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Tovar and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the minimum wage and overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.
- 9. Plaintiff Tovar now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid minimum and overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 et seq. and 650 et seq. (the "NYLL"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

10. Plaintiff Tovar seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Tovar's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 12. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate a shoe repair shop located in this district. Further, Plaintiff Tovar was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 13. Plaintiff Ciro Fabio Tovar ("Plaintiff Tovar" or "Mr. Tovar") is an adult individual residing in Kings County, New York.
- 14. Plaintiff Tovar was employed by Defendants at Empire Shoe Repair from approximately January 2014 until on or about December 2017.
- 15. Plaintiff Tovar consents to being a party plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

- 16. At all relevant times, Defendants owned, operated, or controlled a shoe repair shop, located at 991 Lexington Ave, New York, NY 10021 under the name "Empire Shoe Repair".
- 17. Upon information and belief, The Empire Shoe Repairing Corp. (d/b/a Empire Shoe Repair) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 991 Lexington Ave, New York, NY 10021.
- 18. Defendant George Dzhurayev is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant George Dzhurayev is sued individually in his capacity as owner, officer and/or agent of Defendant Corporation. Defendant George Dzhurayev possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, an ownership interest in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Tovar, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

- 19. Defendants operate a shoe repair shop located in the Upper East Side section of Manhattan.
- 20. Individual Defendant, George Dzhurayev, possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, possesses ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation.
- 21. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.

- 22. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Tovar's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Tovar, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.
- 23. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Tovar (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiff Tovar's (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seg.* and the NYLL.
- 24. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Tovar and/or similarly situated individuals.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendant George Dzhurayev operates Defendant Corporation as either an alter ego of himself and/or fails to operate Defendant Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from himself, by among other things:
 - a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,
 - b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation,
 by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining
 appropriate corporate records,
 - c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,
 - d) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit as the sole or majority shareholder,
 - e) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
 - f) intermingling assets and debts of his own with Defendant Corporation,

- g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect his own interests, and
- h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.
- 26. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Tovar's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Tovar, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Tovar's services.
- 27. In each year from 2014 to 2017, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).
- 28. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the shoe repair shop on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

29. Plaintiff Tovar is a former employee of Defendants who was employed as shoe and bag repairer. Plaintiff Tovar seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Ciro Fabio Tovar

- 30. Plaintiff Tovar was employed by Defendants from approximately January 2014 until on or about May 31, 2016 and from approximately August 1, 2016 until on or about December 2017.
 - 31. Defendants employed Plaintiff Tovar as a shoe and bag repairer.
- 32. Plaintiff Tovar regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as shoe repair equipment and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.

- 33. Plaintiff Tovar's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.
- 34. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Tovar regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 35. From approximately January 2014 until on or about January 2015, Plaintiff Tovar worked from approximately 7:30 am until on or about 11:30 p.m., Mondays through Saturdays (typically 93 hours per week).
- 36. From approximately January 2015 until on or about May 31, 2016 and from approximately August 1, 2016 until on or about December 2017, Plaintiff Tovar worked from approximately 7:30 a.m. until on or about 6:00 p.m., Mondays through Saturdays (typically 63 hours per week).
- 37. From approximately January 2014 until January 2015, Defendants paid Plaintiff Tovar his wages in cash.
- 38. From approximately January 2015 until on or about December 2017, Defendants paid Plaintiff Tovar his wages in a combination of check and cash.
- 39. From approximately January 2014 until on or about January 2015, Defendants paid Plaintiff Tovar a fixed salary of \$300 per week.
- 40. From approximately January 2015 until on or about January 2016, Defendants paid Plaintiff Tovar a fixed salary of \$400 per week.
- 41. From approximately January 2016 until on or about May 31, 2016 and from approximately August 1, 2016 until on or about December 2017, Defendants paid Plaintiff Tovar a fixed salary of \$500 per week.

- 42. Plaintiff Tovar was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.
- 43. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Tovar regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 44. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Tovar an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 45. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Tovar of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).

Defendants' General Employment Practices

- 46. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Tovar (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of 40 hours a week without paying him appropriate minimum wage and overtime compensation as required by federal and state laws.
- 47. Plaintiff Tovar was a victim of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate his rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying him the wages he was owed for the hours he worked.
- 48. Defendants' pay practices resulted in Plaintiff Tovar not receiving payment for all his hours worked, and resulted in Plaintiff Tovar's effective rate of pay falling below the required minimum wage rate.
- 49. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

- 50. Defendants paid Plaintiff Tovar his wages in a combination of check and cash.
- 51. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 52. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Tovar (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Tovar properly for his full hours worked.
- 53. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.
- 54. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiff Tovar and other similarly situated workers.
- 55. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Tovar and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).
- 56. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Tovar and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the

minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

- 57. Plaintiff Tovar brings his FLSA minimum wage, overtime compensation, and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").
- 58. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Tovar and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required minimum wage, overtime pay at a one and one-half their regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA, and willfully failing to keep records under the FLSA.
 - 59. The claims of Plaintiff Tovar stated herein are similar to those of the other employees.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE MINIMUM WAGE PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 60. Plaintiff Tovar repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 61. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Tovar's employers within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power

to hire and fire Plaintiff Tovar (and the FLSA Class Members), controlled the terms and conditions of their employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for their employment.

- 62. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.
- 63. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).
- 64. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Tovar (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).
- 65. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Tovar (and the FLSA Class members) at the applicable minimum hourly rate was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 66. Plaintiff Tovar (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 67. Plaintiff Tovar repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 68. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), failed to pay Plaintiff Tovar (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 69. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Tovar (and the FLSA Class members), overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

70. Plaintiff Tovar (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE ACT

- 71. Plaintiff Tovar repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 72. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Tovar's employers within the meaning of the N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 2 and 651. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Tovar, controlled the terms and conditions of his employment, and determined the rates and methods of any compensation in exchange for his employment.
- 73. Defendants, in violation of NYLL § 652(1) and the supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, paid Plaintiff Tovar less than the minimum wage.
- 74. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Tovar the minimum wage was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 75. Plaintiff Tovar was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- 76. Plaintiff Tovar repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 77. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 *et seq.*, and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiff Tovar overtime compensation at

rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.

- 78. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Tovar overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 79. Plaintiff Tovar was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING

REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 80. Plaintiff Tovar repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 81. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Tovar with a written notice, in English and in Spanish, Plaintiff Tovar's primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 82. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Tovar in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 83. Plaintiff Tovar repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 84. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Tovar with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 85. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Tovar in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Tovar respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

- (a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;
- (b) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Tovar and the FLSA Class members;

- (c) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Tovar and the FLSA Class members;
- (d) Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Tovar's and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (e) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful as to Plaintiff Tovar and the FLSA Class members;
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff Tovar and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage, overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- (g) Awarding Plaintiff Tovar and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (h) Declaring that Defendants violated the minimum wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Tovar;
- (i) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Tovar;
- (j) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Tovar's compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (k) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the NYLL were willful as to Plaintiff Tovar;

Case 1:19-cv-03474-VSB Document 1 Filed 04/18/19 Page 16 of 18

(l) Awarding Plaintiff Tovar damages for the amount of unpaid minimum wage and

overtime compensation, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages as

applicable;

(m) Awarding Plaintiff Tovar damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice

and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);

(n) Awarding Plaintiff Tovar liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred

percent (100%) of the total amount of minimum wage and overtime compensation shown to be

owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3);

(o) Awarding Plaintiff Tovar and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and post-

judgment interest as applicable;

(p) Awarding Plaintiff Tovar and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in

this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;

(q) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days

following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal

is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by

fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and

(r) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Tovar demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: New York, New York

April 18, 2019

MICHAEL FAILLACE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Mic

/s/ Michael Faillace

Michael Faillace [MF-8436]

60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510

Case 1:19-cv-03474-VSB Document 1 Filed 04/18/19 Page 17 of 18

New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C.

Employment and Litigation Attorneys

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 New York, New York 10165 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Faillace@employmentcompliance.com April 8, 2019 **BY HAND** TO: Clerk of Court, I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff. (Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.) Name / Nombre: Ciro Fabio Tovar Legal Representative / Abogado: Michael Faillace & Associates, P.C. Signature / Firma:

8 de Abril 2019

Date / Fecha: